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As Oakwood College began preparations to celebrate its 100th Anniversary, the authors of this article were asked to participate in a large undertaking in preparation for those festivities. The *Adventist Journal. A Journal of Adventist History*, published by La Sierra University, Riverside, California, planned to devote an entire issue in March, 1996, to Oakwood’s centenary. Mrs. Minneola L. Dixon, Assistant Professor and Director of Archives, assembled an Oakwood College Archives Historical Research and Preservation Committee to assist her in her duties as guest editor. The specific assignment given to the authors of this article was an investigation of the relationship of the college to the community of Huntsville.

Although the institution’s archives contained many historical documents related to land ownership, there was no clear pattern of acquisition. Indeed, folklore had combined with history to the point that a definite sorting and documenting of facts was necessary.

First was the idea that a portion of the original land, including the plantation house and slave quarters, had been built or owned by President Andrew Jackson. Next was the claim that Dred Scott had once been a slave on the Peter Blow farm, i.e. that portion of the land which contained the manor house. Third was the belief that the original land purchased by the Seventh Day Adventist Church had been the William C. Irwin farm, together with the Beasley plantation. Other evidence indicated that the Irwins sold land directly to the church representatives.

In order to clarify the facts and settle these issues, the authors undertook a survey of the original land office sales from 1809 forward to ascertain the actual land transfers. It was quickly determined that President Jackson never owned land in Madison County. From Norman Shapiro’s article in *Valley Leaves*, the publication of the Tennessee Valley Genealogical Society, March, 1989, he verifies the finding that Dred Scott had indeed been a slave on the Peter Blow farm. This information was expanded by Thomas Frazier and Tom Carney into an article entitled “A Man Named Sam,” for the special issue of the *Adventist Journal*. The other concerns, however, required extensive research in the Deed Books of Madison County.

Currently the College occupies all of Township 3, Range 1 West, Section 20, a majority of the Northeast and Northwest Quarters of Section 29, and one-half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 19. It would seem the assignment was relatively
simple. However, there were extreme complications arising from the original land sales of 1809. None of the land in question was sold in the original auction of 1809 when LeRoy Pope and others bought the quarter sections that were to become Huntsville. All of the original Oakwood property was sold later at the minimum price of $2 per acre. Most of the quarters were sold on credit.

After the Federal Government had assured United States possession of the land of the big bend of the Tennessee River by 1806, the government dispatched Thomas Freeman to the new territory to establish the meridian from which the lands could be surveyed and prepared for government sale. The Land Ordinances (1785-1800) required that all new lands added to the United States would be divided into Townships of 6 square miles of 36 Sections or 144 Quarter Sections. The Quarter Section would be the initial minimum unit of sale. Although lands would be initially sold at public auction, those lands remaining unsold would require a minimum price of $2 per acre. Under these conditions, the land sales for Madison County were held in Nashville, Tennessee, beginning August 1, 1809, and continuing for a three-week period.

The early records show the general poverty of the original owners who were trying to pay for their land after an initial down payment which was five percent of the total price ($18.00). A further complication was the necessity of buying a Quarter Section, i.e. 160 acres, at a price of $320.00. Under the original terms of sale, the purchaser had 40 days to come up with the remaining funds, or 20 percent ($64.00) to make a down payment. Because of difficulties of payment, Congress began to pass relief laws but not until 1821. Significant was the fact that an owner could give up part of his land to complete payment on the rest. Extended credit was then given to debtors for from four to eight years, depending on the amount of the purchase money a debtor had already paid. The authors are indebted to Margaret M. Cowart for her preparation of material from the Bureau of Land Management original records. (See Appendix I.) This material is interesting in that it shows the tribulations of the original settlers trying to eke out an existence as small farmers. The original purchasers are found in Appendix II.

On January 23, 1896, Michael J. O'Shaughnessey sold 358.71 acres of land to the representatives of the Seventh Day Adventist Church. This was to become the nucleus of the present college holdings and constituted a portion of the former William Irwin farm. This land consisted of 1/2 of the Southeast Quarters of Section 19 and all of the Northeast and Northwest Quarters of Section 29, less 22.7 acres to the south of each Quarter Section. This is the most historic portion of the campus, inasmuch as the Northwest Quarter of Section 29 was originally sold to Peter Blow on January 5, 1811, with a final certificate of ownership patented to Blow on October 5, 1819. The Peter Blow ownership confirmed the fact that Dred Scott had once lived on this land and had married a slave from a
neighboring plantation.

For a variety of reasons, Peter Blow decided to sell his property and to move westward to the vicinity of Florence, Alabama. In 1821, James W. Camp, who had purchased the Southeast Quarter of Section 19 on November 17, 1814, purchased the Blow acreage. (Deed Book H, p. 79)

Credit must be given to James Camp for the first consolidation of lands in Sections 20 and 29. He was born in Greenville, Virginia, on June 28, 1789. A man of substance, he came to Madison County in 1814 when he purchased his first land in Section 19. After the death of his first wife, Mary Ann Turner, on November 22, 1828, he married the widow of William Tait of North Carolina, nee Mary Webster of Maury County, Tennessee. James Camp died on October 9, 1845, which would result in the sale of his large land holdings to James Scruggs. Both James Camp and his first wife are buried in Maple Hill Cemetery in Section 5. (Robey, Johnson, Jones and Roberts, Maple Hill Cemetery, Phase One, pp. 32-32.)

Newspaper obituaries state that Colonel Camp died at his residence four miles northwest of Huntsville. In all probability, the manor house associated with Oakwood College was the structure built by Mr. Camp. A relative, John Acklen Turner, wrote to his cousin, Granville Goodlow, in 1898 and described his visits to the “home place” during the Camp ownership. (Manuscript in the possession of Norman Shapiro)

Thus, between 1821 and 1834, James W. Camp began to assemble a sizable acreage in Sections 20, 29 and 30 in addition to his holding in Section 19. As noted, he purchased the Blow Quarter Section of Northwest 29 in 1821. The following year, he purchased the Matthew Estes Southwest Quarter of Section 20 (Deed Book H, p. 147), and in 1823, he purchased the Northeast Quarter of Section 29 from William H. Winter (Deed Book H, p. 141) who had purchased this property from James Ware who bought the property from John Humphreys in 1815 (Deed Book E, p. 76).

The latter transaction is important because it shows the breakup of the original Quarter Section requirements of 1809. The Winter sale also included 100 acres of the Southeast Quarter of Section 19. This property contained a spring and was divided north/south into 100 acres to the west where Humphreys lived and 60 acres to the east. In order to recreate the original Quarter Section, James Camp bought 10 acres from John M. Rodgers and his wife, Ann, on December 4, 1834 (Deed Book F, p. 171); he had bought 50 acres from Abraham Miller in 1827 (Deed Book L, p. 48). Thus, the original Quarter Section was now whole.
The Manor House as it appeared in 1896.
Not content with these holdings, Mr. Camp secured 160 acres from John Hamblin, Jr. and his wife, Judith, in 1826 which was the Southeast Quarter of Section 29 (Deed Book K, p. 189). Thus, James Camp had substantial holdings which his widow, Mary J. Camp, sold to James H. Scruggs in 1847 (Deed Book W, p. 453).

Apparently, however, James H. Scruggs did not have a clear title from James Camp. Deed Book BB, p. 99 (1857) indicates that James White McClung, Trustee, had sold by deed to William Brandon on August 14, 1843 the south one-half of Section 20, the north one-half of Section 29 and the Southeast Quarter of Section 29 together with 77 acres in the east part of the Northeast Quarter of Section 20 and the south half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 19--963 acres “Being land on which James W. Camp then resided.” William Brandon contracted to sell James W. Camp the aforesaid tract for the benefit of John C. Steger. Mr. Camp gave Mr. Brandon a note for $8,000 whereupon Brandon gave Camp full title. This was the title that was later transferred to James H. Scruggs. However, $2,500 remained to be paid on the note. It is interesting that both James W. McClung and William Brandon were deceased in 1848 and the Brandon estate was still active in 1857.

Nevertheless the Camp acreage was sold to James H. Scruggs in 1847 and on September 25, 1863, Mr. Scruggs sold all of his holdings in Sections 19, 29, and 30 to James A. Beasley (Deed Book DD, pp. 616 and 627). This is a perplexing transaction inasmuch as an Indenture was agreed upon on May 5, 1863, to sell the property to Beasley (page 616); however, on May 25, 1862, Beasley agreed to pay James M. Scruggs 400,000 pounds of cotton for the property. This sale was notarized by the court on September 25, 1862, but recorded May 14, 1863. The next day, May 15, 1863, James A. Beasley and his wife, Harriet, sold the property to Clara B. Lightfoot (Deed Book DD, p. 628). Could it be that Scruggs preferred payment in cotton rather than in Confederate currency?

On September 27, 1869, the administrators of Mrs. Lightfoot’s estate sold her acreage in Section 29 (Deed Book LL, pp. 393-395) to William C. Irwin. Mr. Irwin owned the eastern half of the Northwest Quarter of Section 20 which had been originally purchased by David Maxwell in 1831 who also purchased the Northwest half of the Quarter in 1834. The Maxwell properties were sold to Hugh Nicholds (Deed Book R, p. 329) in 1839 and then sold to Mary J. Irwin (Deed Book X, p. 33) in 1848. Thus, with the Irwin purchases, the nucleus of the present campus was achieved. This was the property sold by William C. Irwin to Michael O’Shaughnessey for $8,000 in 1888 (Deed Book PPP, p. 567). Mr. O’Shaughnessey sold these holdings, 358.71 acres, to the representatives of the Seventh Day Adventist Church on January 23, 1896 for $6,300. (Deed Book 77, p. 349)
The second phase of the College’s expansion involves the purchase of all of Section 20, Township 3, Range 1 West. This was the sale by James N. Ford and his wife, Julia, of 618 acres to the Seventh Day Adventist Church of Washington, DC, for $24,750. This property, too, has a somewhat complicated history. The Southeast Quarter of 161 acres was originally assigned by John Cunningham to John Humphreys who was awarded possession on February 9, 1816.

The Northwest Quarter was originally assigned to Robert McCall who apparently assigned the property to Thomas Carroll who, in turn, forfeited his rights on July 4, 1829. David Maxwell purchased the Eastern half of the Northwest Quarter (1831) and the Northwest half of the Northwest Quarter in 1834. James Clemens purchased the Southwest Quarter of the Northwest Quarter in 1842. Both Maxwell and Clemens paid approximately $1.25 per acre.

John Nicholson purchased the Northeast Quarter in 1810 and paid for the property in full in 1813. His certificate is dated April 21, 1814.

Subsequently David Maxwell sold his interest in the Northwest Quarter of Section 20 to Hugh Nichols (Deed Book R, p. 329) in 1839 who, in turn, sold the property to William Irwin in 1848 (Deed Book X, p. 33).

James Clemens sold his Northwest half of Northwest Quarter to Charles P. Cabaniss in 1870 (Deed Book OO, p. 154). In 1853, Mr. Cabaniss gained possession in 1853 of the Northeast Quarter (Deed Book Z, p. 191) after several transfers involving David and Eleanor Dickey to James Wilson (Deed Book M, p. 634) in 1830.

The John Humphreys property has been previously discussed. This portion of the Southeast Quarter Section was sold to William A. Winter in 1819 (Deed Book E, p. 76) who in turn sold the property to James W. Camp in 1823 (Deed Book H, p. 414).

Matthew Estes, who had purchased the Southwest Quarter from James H. Bell’s original assignment, received clear title to this property on November 21, 1818 and sold the property to James W. Camp in 1822 (Deed Book H, p. 147). The Southeast and Southwest Quarters of Section 20 became part of the Beasley sale to Clara Lightfoot who sold the property to William Irwin in 1869 (Deed Book LL, p. 394).

On December 26, 1883, William Irwin sold to James N. Ford of Shelby County, Tennessee, 322 acres comprising the Southeast and Southwest Quarters of Section 20 (Deed Book III, p. 351). Septimus Cabaniss, the Executor of the estate of his brother, Charles P. Cabaniss, sold the Northeast and Northwest Quarters of Section 20 to James N. Ford in 1885 (Deed Book KKK, pp. 20-21). This tract,
the Ford farm, was sold to the Seventh Day Adventist Church on September 10, 1918. (Deed Book 116, p. 249). Thus, the College’s land remained until 1980 when a small acreage was added.

It is the lot of the historian to prove and/or disprove. Perhaps the 17th century becomes the most important age of the modern era, for it was in this century that the concept of evidence gained its widest credence. Evidence is that which allows one to believe with a greater certainty than the absence of evidence would allow.

In conclusion, then, there is no association of President Jackson with the Oakwood College property unless the President was a friend of the Camps or the Scruggs. It is probable that he may have been a visitor on the property, but there is no evidence of ownership of property in Madison County.

Dred Scott was a slave on the Peter Blow farm and this historical fact has been noted on the Oakwood College historical marker recently installed by the Huntsville-Madison County Historical Society for the Alabama Historical Association.

There is some evidence to support a Beasley claim to the land, although the family owned a significant portion of the property only for a few months before it was sold to Mrs. Clara B. Lightfoot. Mrs. Lightfoot is an elusive character in the public records. Current descendants of the Beasley family know of no relationship to the family.

Although one can speak of the Irwin farm as that sold to the Seventh Day Adventist Church in 1896, the land was owned by Michael J. O’Shaughnessey, himself an extensive land owner in the county and the builder of the glamorous villa, Kildare, on Meridian Street. Perhaps he referred to the land as the Irwin farm, but technically that title disappeared when the land was sold. Old terminology is oftentimes slow to change. Many Huntsvillians refer to the Olin King house on Franklin Street as the Garth house, and many others call the Watts mansion on Echols Hill the Pope house. Nevertheless, interested readers might want to consult The Huntsville Historical Review, Volume 21, #2 (Summer-Fall 1994), for Nancy Rohr’s account of “The O’Shaughnessey Legacy in Huntsville.”

Hopefully this review will give a clearer “title” to Oakwood College’s land holdings. For the reader, the essay should demonstrate the difficulties of creating a large farm from small acreage during the ante-bellum period. This could only be accomplished by the consolidation of small holdings as a result of the original land sales. This article should also provide a better understanding of ownership as the more successful farmers attempted to create large holdings to grow cotton for the newly emerging mills of the south and the east.
In any event, Oakwood College has been the most important owner of these properties, and the College is an outstanding member of the Huntsville community, which recognizes the College's major contributions to the community's religious, educational, cultural, and economic life.
APPENDIX I.

ORIGINAL LAND SALE RECORDS, MADISON COUNTY, AL.
PREPARED BY MARGARET M. COWART

Section 19, 3 South, 1 West - 634.40 acres
Northeast 1/4. 158.60 acres at $2.00 an acre
To Jno. Burleson of Madison County (spelled Burlesson)
To amt of purchase money 1/4 section 317.20 24 Feb 1812
Interest 10.14 11 Apr 1814
327.34
Amt of 1st instalment 79.30
11 Apr 1814 2nd inst & int 89.44
31 Dec 1814 Cash in full 3rd inst 79.30
13 Feb 1816 do do 4th do 79.30
327.34
Exam. by W.L.T.
Final cert No. 587
Patent dated 15 Apr 1816

Southeast 1/4 158.60 acres at $2.00 an acre
To Nicholas Reedy of Madison County, M.T.
Assigned to James W. Camp
17 Nov 1814 By amt of deposit 16.00
31 Jan 1815 By residuary of 1st instal 63.00 $79.30
24 May 1826 by cash 148.70
by discount 89.20
317.20
Exam. by C.T.
Final Certificate No. 2034
Patent dated 1 Apr 1828

Southwest 1/4 158.60 acres at $2.00 an acre
To John Allison of Madison County
Assigned to Peter Hudson pr Rect. #3705
Assigned to Lewis H. Deloney pr Rect. #4090
Assigned to Edward B.W. Delony pr Rect. #12207
20 Oct 1810 To amt of purchase money $ 317.20
12 Aug 1815 To interest 71.37
14 Oct 1816 do 4.75
20 Oct 1817 do 9.51
23 Oct 1818 do 14.31
417.14
20 Oct 1810 by amt deposit 15.86
8 Nov 1810 by residuary of 1st inst. 63.44

Amt of 1st instalment 79.30
12 Aug 1815 by cash amt of int. of 2,3, & 4 inst. 71.37
4 Oct 1816 by amt of 2nd inst & int. 84.05
20 Oct 1817 by amt of 3rd inst. & int. 88.81
23 Oct 1818 by amt of 4th do & do 93.61

417.14

Exam. H.J.H.
Final certificate No. 1140
Patent dated 12 Oct 1819

Northwest 1/4 158.60 acres at $2.00 an acre
To James Burlisson of Madison County
Assigned to Green Roper
Assigned to Robert Martin, Sr. Rect. #4220
22 Dec 1810 To amount of purchase money 317.20
29 Jan 1815 to Amt for interest 15.42
9 Oct 1816 Do 47.58
20 Dec 1816 Do 3.17
12 Jan 1817 Do 13.04
396.41

1810 Dec 22 By amt of deposit $16.00
1811 Jan 24 By residue of 1st instal $63.30
1815 Jan 29 By cash in full 2nd inst. Interest 79.30 15.42
1815 Oct 9 By amt of Int. on 3rd & 4th inst. 47.58
1816 Dec 10 By cash on account 56.04
1818 Jan 12 Do do and Interest 118.77
396.41

Examined P. Rkies (?)
Final certificate #866
Patent dated 21 Nov 1818

Section 20, 3 South, 1 West 644 acres
Northeast 1/4 161 acres at $2.00 an acre
To David Dickey of Madison County
1811 Jul 4 322.00

4 Jul 1811 Amt of 1st instalment 80.50
19 Aug 1812 By cash in full 2nd inst. 74.86
Discount 5.64
3 June 1813 By cash in full 3rd & 4th 140.62
Discount 20.38
322.00

Examined F.D.T.
Final Certificate No. 107
Patent dated 28 Aug 1813

Southwest 1/4 161 acres at $2.00 an acre
To James H. Bell of Madison County
Assigned to Matthew Estis per Recpt #4200
1814 Jan 3 To amount of purchase 322.00
1814 Jan 3 By deposit 16.00
1814 Jan 19 By residue 64.50 80.50
1815 Dec 20 By cash in full 2nd inst. 80.50
1816 Dec. 16 By cash in full & int 3rd inst 80.50
1818 Jan 3 Do do 4th inst. 80.50
322.00

Examined W. P. King
Final certificate No. 860
Patent dated 21 Nov 1818
APPENDIX II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SECTION 19</th>
<th>SECTION 20</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Robert Martin</td>
<td>John Burleson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/22/1810</td>
<td>2/24/1812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 866</td>
<td># 587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edward B. W. Delony</td>
<td>James W. Camp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/20/1810</td>
<td>11/17/1814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 1140</td>
<td># 2034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Maxwell</td>
<td>Matthew Estes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/10/34</td>
<td>1/3/1814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 354</td>
<td># 860</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>James Clemens</td>
<td>John Humphreys</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/22/42</td>
<td>8/6/1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 12364</td>
<td># 569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Maxwell</td>
<td>Peter Blow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/28/1832</td>
<td>1/5/1811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 4250</td>
<td># 1029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Nicholson</td>
<td>Robert Key</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/10/1810</td>
<td>8/29/1812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 192</td>
<td># 790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Robert Alford</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/6/1811</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># 466</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MADISON COUNTY, AL., TOWNSHIP 3, RANGE 1 WEST

ORIGINAL OWNERSHIP, 1810 - 1842
THE 11TH DAY OF JANUARY, 1861

Norman M. Shapiro

“The undersigned, delegates to the Convention of the people of the State of Alabama, feel it their duty to themselves, to their constituents, and to the people of the State at large, to make public the reasons that actuate them in withholding their signatures from the Ordinance of Secession by which the people of Alabama resumed, on the 11th day of January, 1861, the powers previously delegated to and exercised by the Federal Government.”¹ With these words, thirty-three of the thirty-nine distinguished gentlemen of Alabama who voted against the Ordinance of Secession began explanation of the rationale for their action. They were, of course, concerned that their action be perceived as disloyal and unpatriotic by the people of the State and attempted to allay such notion with a repetition of the arguments and pledges they had made during the five days of the convention. Indeed, it is our purpose to determine what happened to these men and how they fared after this crucial time. The full text of their statement, which appears as Appendix I, described the two primary reasons for their opposition to the Ordinance: that 1) the Ordinance of Secession should have been submitted to a direct vote of the people of the State and 2) that the other states with identical interests were not consulted prior to secession.² The latter reason was a basic concept of the so-called “cooperationists,” and all of these gentlemen were elected under this platform.

The election of delegates to the convention was called for December 24, 1860, by a proclamation issued by Governor A. B. Moore on December 5, 1860, the day the Electors cast their votes for Abraham Lincoln for President. By the time Governor Moore issued his official proclamation, a spirited campaign was already in progress in most of the counties between those who favored immediate secession by separate state action, commonly referred to as “straight-outs,” and the aforementioned cooperationists who held varying views based on the timing of secession and cooperation with the other states. Fleming³ divides the cooperationists into three main groups: those wanting the cooperation of the southern states within the Union in order to force their rights from the central government; those wanting the southern states to come to an agreement within the Union and then secede and form a confederacy; and a third class who wanted a clear understanding among the cotton states before secession. Dorman⁴ provides convenient labels for these groups: “ultimate secessionists” for the first group and “cooperative secessionists” for the second and third groups. He also indicates that those who were actually “unionists,” and there were some, usually went under the guise of cooperationists, and in most counties worked with the other opponents of immediate secession.
Fifty-four secessionist and forty-six cooperationist delegates were elected to the Convention in the election of December 24, 1860. The counties sending cooperationists were, in general, northern, and the counties sending secessionists were, in general, central and southern. Exceptions were Conecuh County, which set a cooperationist from the southern part of the state; Calhoun County, which sent three secessionists from the northeastern part of the state; and Coosa and Tallapoosa Counties, which sent six cooperationists from a salient extending well into the secessionist region of central Alabama. The one hundred delegates convened on 7 January 1861 in the chamber normally occupied by the House of Representatives in the State Capitol at Montgomery. The secessionist majority was sustained in the election of a presiding officer when William M. Brooks of Perry County was elected over one of the cooperationist leaders, Robert Jemison Jr. of Tuscaloosa County by a vote of 53 to 45 (Brooks and Jemison not voting) and this breakdown generally prevailed in all of the procedural votes of the Convention. Given the solid majority of secessionists and the fact that most of the cooperationists were ultimate or cooperative secessionists, the only question at issue when the Convention settled down to work was how to secede? To decide that question, leaders of the two factions were placed on a Committee of Thirteen. It was obvious that an Ordinance of Secession would be passed as soon as the secessionists would bring it to a vote and while the Committee deliberated, the cooperationists made impressive speeches. The secessionist viewpoint was well understood and, with the exception of William Lowndes Yancey of Montgomery, they had little to say until the Ordinance was passed. Yancey, the undoubted leader of the secessionists, had some spirited and acrimonious debates with Robert Jemison.

On the fourth day, a majority report and a proposed “Ordinance to dissolve the Union between the State of Alabama and other States united under the compact styled “The Constitution of the United States of America’ “ were submitted by Yancey for the Committee of Secession. Although the majority had preferred a simple Ordinance of Secession, they did include in the Ordinance a resolution calling for a meeting of the southern states in Montgomery on February 4, 1861, for consultation on concerted action deemed desirable for common peace and security. A minority report, presented by Jeremiah Clemens of Madison County, reiterated the cooperationist arguments and called for a convention of all of the southern states to meet in Nashville on February 23, 1861, to consider wrongs and appropriate remedies. After much more discussion, the Ordinance was amended to include a statement inserted before the above resolution expressing that “And as it is the desire and purpose of the people of Alabama to meet the Slaveholding States of the South, who may approve such purpose, in order to frame a provisional as well as permanent Government, upon the principles of the Constitution of the United States.” These statements were somewhat of a concession to the cooperationists, but note that these actions were to take place
after the state seceded. The full text of the Ordinance of Secession appears as Appendix II.

On January 11, 1861, the fifth day, Yancey closed the debate with a lengthy speech and the Ordinance was called up and passed by a vote of 61 to 39, with every delegate voting. Seven delegates who were elected as cooperationists voted for the Ordinance, including Jeremiah Clemens.

The passage produced much excitement throughout the state in partisans on all sides. Thirty-three of the thirty-nine cooperationists issued their “Address to the People of Alabama” and pledged themselves to support the state in all the consequences that might result from The Ordinance and to affix their signatures if it was so desired by the public. It is not known why the other six did not sign the “Address.” Fifteen of the cooperationists did later sign the Ordinance including eleven signers of the “Address.” But the question remained as to how their negative vote against such an apparently popular mandate would be perceived in the turbulent days ahead.

The last rather complete treatment of the Secession Convention was prepared by the Alabama Department of Archives and History and published in a combined double issue of The Alabama Historical Quarterly in 1941. An important part of this study was a collection of biographical sketches of the Convention delegates. In an editorial prefacing the article, Marie Bankhead Owen, Director, Alabama State Department of Archives and History, mentioned the great difficulties encountered in locating biographical facts (even birthplaces) for many of the delegates. A primary source of such information was the unique contribution of Thomas M. Owen, however, important details were lacking for many of the delegates. This author has been able to supplement the data contained in Endnotes 7 and 8 by a study of Federal Census records, county histories and other sources, in order to provide some insight into the later experiences of the steadfast cooperationists.

The pertinent essentials for the thirty-nine delegates appear below in the following order: birth date, birth place, death date, occupation, and contributions. Those who did sign the Ordinance of Secession are indicated by an asterisk. It is reasonable to assume that election to public office after the Convention would indicate some acceptance by their fellow citizens, and it is found that twenty-nine were elected to various positions after this time. Several of these were elected as Republicans and designated “scalawags” in Endnote 9 and in the following biographical sketches by an (s). Nine of the gentlemen served in the Confederate Army.

ALLEN, LANG C., Marion County: 1833. Alabama. 1901. Prepared for medical profession, but built and operated a thread factory. Served in Confederate Army
as Major, 5th Alabama Cavalry. Moved to Coshoma County, Mississippi.


BULGER, MICHAEL JEFFERSON, Tallapoosa County: 1806. South Carolina. 1900. Planter. Elected to the State Legislature 1851 and 1857. He served as Brigadier General of Militia until 1861. When war came, he assisted Governor Shorter in reorganizing the cavalry and served as Captain, Lieutenant Colonel, and Colonel. Forty-seventh Alabama Infantry; was wounded several times and commissioned Brigadier General. He was a member of the State Senate in 1866.

*CLARKE, JAMES S., Lawrence County: 1830. Alabama. 1881. Lawyer. Elected circuit court judge in 1868. He was nominated for Governor in 1876 and was a Republican Presidential Elector in 1880. (s)

COFFEE, JOHN R., Jackson County: 1814. Tennessee. 1896. Merchant and farmer. Elected as sheriff of Jackson County in 1840. Served in Mexican War as Colonel, First Alabama Regiment, and after the war he eventually became Major General of the State Militia. He was bitterly opposed to the Ordinance of Secession but afterward gave moral and substantial support to the Confederacy. He was a delegate to the 1876 Republican Convention. (s)

COMAN, JOSHUA PROUT, Limestone County: 1812. North Carolina. 1885. Physician and public official. Elected to State Legislature 1835 and 1837 and to the State Senate in 1861 and 1863. He was a delegate to the 1865 Constitutional Convention and judge of the probate court of Limestone County after the war. (s)

*DAVIS, NICHOLAS JR., Madison County: 1825. Alabama. 1874. Lawyer. Served as a Lieutenant in the Mexican War. Elected to State Legislature from Limestone County in 1861 and served as solicitor of Madison County 1855-1860. Elected to Provisional Congress of Confederacy and served in Confederate Army for a short time. He was active in Republican Party politics in Madison County after the war. (See below.) (s)

*EARNEST, WILLIAM S., Jefferson County: c1811. Tennessee. 1882. Lawyer. Elected to State Legislature in 1851 and was unsuccessful candidate for governor in 1853. He was also unsuccessful in election to Congress in 1861.
EDWARDS, WILLIAM H., Blount County: c1826. Alabama. School teacher. He was elected to the State Legislature in 1857 and to the State Senate in 1865.

FORD, JONATHAN, Morgan County: 1813. South Carolina. 1884. Planter. Served as probate judge of Morgan County from 1868 to 1874.

*FORRESTER, GEORGE, Randolph County: c1820. Georgia. 1899. Merchant and farmer. He was a delegate to the 1865 Constitutional Convention and was elected to the State Legislature in 1871 but did not serve when the election was contested. (s)


*GREEN, JOHN, Conecuh County: 1790. South Carolina. 1882. Lawyer, planter and teacher. Served in the War of 1812. Elected to State Legislature in 1824 and 1829. Though eighty-five years old, he was elected as a delegate to the 1875 Constitutional Convention. He was the only member from south Alabama to vote against the Ordinance of Secession.

GUTTERY, ROBERT, Walker County: 1801. Tennessee. 1877. Merchant, farmer and primitive Baptist minister. He supported the Confederacy after the convention and several of his sons served in the Confederate Army.

*HOOD, WILLIAM A., Jackson County: 1809. North Carolina. a1880. Farmer. Was justice of peace in 1860. He served as a private in Co. I, 55th Alabama Infantry until October 1862 when he was discharged with a physical disability.

*INZER, JOHN WASHINGTON, St. Clair County: 1834. Georgia. after 1907. Lawyer. Was Lieutenant Colonel and commanded Fifty-eighth Alabama Infantry. Later served as probate judge and circuit court judge and was elected to State Senate in 1874 and in 1890 and to the Constitutional Convention of 1875.

*JEMISON, ROBERT JR., Tuscaloosa County: 1802. Georgia. 1871. Lawyer and business man. He served in the State Legislature 1840-1842; 1844; 1847-1851, and in the State Senate 1851-1863. He was elected to the Confederate States Senate in 1863.

He was judge of the county court, 1848-1850, and served in the State Senate, 1850-1860. He was elected to the Constitutional Convention of 1865.

JONES, HENRY COX, Lauderdale County: 1821. Alabama. 1913. Lawyer. Served as probate judge in Franklin County in 1841 and was elected to the State Legislature in 1843 and 1844 and to the State Senate in 1853 and 1855. He moved to Florence in 1856 and represented Lauderdale County in the 1861 Convention. He served one year in the Provisional Congress of the Confederate States.


*LEWIS, DAVID PETER, Lawrence County: 1820. Virginia. 1884. Lawyer. He was elected to the Provisional Congress of the Confederate States by the 1861 Convention but resigned his seat. He was elected Republican Governor of Alabama in 1872. See Endnote 10 for a description of his experiences during the war years. (s)

MC CLELLAN, THOMAS JOYCE, Limestone County: 1811. Tennessee. 1887. Farmer. He was elected to the State Legislature in 1861 and 1862.

POSEY, SIDNEY CHERRY, Lauderdale County: 1805. South Carolina. 1868. Lawyer. He was elected to the State Legislature, 1835-1836, the State Senate, 1837, 1844 and 1845, and as circuit judge 1847-1850. He was again elected to the State Legislature in 1861 and helped devise ways of raising means for the public defense. He was a member of the state Republican Executive Committee in 1867. (s)

POTTER, JOHN, Cherokee County: c1817. Georgia. 1883. School teacher and Methodist minister. He was associated with academies in Gadsden before and after the war. He was elected to the State Legislature in 1866.

RUSSELL, TIMOTHY JOHN, Tallapoosa County: 1812. South Carolina. 1868. Lawyer, planter and Baptist minister. He was a member of the 1865 and 1867 Constitutional Conventions. (s)

SANFORD, HENRY C., Cherokee County: 1802. South Carolina. 1888. Merchant, school teacher and Methodist minister. He was a member of the State Legislature 1853-1857 and the 1865 Constitutional Convention. He was elected to the State Senate in 1868 and 1870. He was a Republican Presidential Elector in 1876. (s)
SHEETS, CHARLES CHRISTOPHER, Winston County: 1839. Alabama. 1904. School teacher. He was a member of the State Legislature in 1862 and the 1865 Constitutional Convention. Sheets was Republican Presidential Elector in 1868, a U.S. Congressman in 1872 and 1874, and a member of the state Republican Executive Committee in 1875. See below. (s)

*SHEFFIELD, JAMES LAWRENCE, Marshall County: 1819. Alabama. 1892. merchant and planter. He was a member of the State Legislature 1855-1859 and Colonel, Forty-eighth Alabama Infantry Regiment. he was a member of the 1865 Constitutional Convention and elected to the State Senate in 1865.

SMITH, WILLIAM RUSSELL, Tuscaloosa County: 1815. Kentucky. 1896. Lawyer, author and congressman. Served in the Creek War and was elected circuit judge in 1850 and to Congress in 1851, 1853 and 1855. he was commissioned Colonel, Twenty-sixth Alabama Infantry, but was elected to the Confederate House of Representatives in which he served until 1865. he was elected President of the University of Alabama in 1870. He compiled the record of the 1861 Secession Convention.

STEDHAM, WINSTON, Marion County: 1810. Tennessee. 1895. Farmer and surveyor. He was a justice of the peace in Marion County for twenty years and was elected to the Alabama Legislature in 1865.

STEELE JOHN ANTHONY, Colbert County: 1835. Alabama. 1916. Lawyer and planter. He served as Captain of Co. F, Eleventh Alabama Regiment in Forrest's cavalry. He served in the Alabama Legislature in 1870, 1871, 1872 as a Democrat and in 1878, 1879 as a Republican. he was elected probate judge of Colbert County in 1880 and served twelve years in that position.

*TIMBERLAKE, JOHN, Jackson County: 1817. Virginia. 1867. Building contractor, merchant and farmer.

WATKINS, RICHARD SHARP, Franklin County: 1815. Alabama 1881. Lawyer. he was probate judge of Franklin County from 1843 to 1849 and served in the State Legislature 1849-1855. he was nominated for Chancellor in 1874. (s)

WHITLOCK, WILLIAM LAFAYETTE, Cherokee County: 1825. South Carolina. 1892. Lawyer. He was elected judge of the 12th judicial circuit in 1868 and served for twelve years.

WILSON, BURR W., Fayette County: 1809. Tennessee. 1883. Lawyer. he was probate judge of Fayette County, elected to the State Legislature in 1835 and served in the State Senate from 1837-1843.
WINSTON, WILLIAM OVERTON, DeKalb County: 1804. Virginia. 1871. Lawyer and railroad president. He served in the State Legislature from 1840-1845 and then as solicitor of the judicial circuit until 1855 when he was again elected to the Legislature. He was a member of the 1865 Constitutional Convention and was elected to the State Senate that same year.

*WOOD, R. J., Randolph County: 1825. Georgia. 1895. Tannery and mine operator. During the war, manufactured shoes and mail bags for the Confederate government.

Very few documented incidents have been found where these men suffered indignities or undue harassment because of their stand. Surely the most noted case was that of Christopher Sheets of Winston County, the “hero of Looney’s Tavern.” Sheets was reputed to be a vigorous opponent of secession; however, none of his remarks were recorded in Smith’s Debates. After the Convention, Sheets was elected to the State Legislature in 1862 but was later arrested for alleged disloyalty to the Confederate government and expelled from the House. He apparently spent most of the war in prison. According to Thompson Sheets was also involved in a scuffle on the floor after the vote at the Secession Convention and was carted off to jail and imprisoned for several days. To the people of Winston County, however, Chris Sheets was indeed a hero and was so commended at the meeting at Looney’s Tavern in Winston County on July 4, 1862, at which the epithet “Free State of Winston” was first mentioned. He served in many elective and appointive offices after the war including the U.S. Congress and as U.S. Consul to Denmark. He died in Decatur, Alabama, in 1904.

John J. (Jack) Brasher, a popular preacher of Blount County, vehemently opposed the secession decision and after the Convention took to the stump and advocated that Blount and several adjoining counties withdraw from the Confederacy and form their own independent state. He also became the center of a movement to avoid military service, and a band of about 50 men, termed the “Hide-Outs,” left their homes to avoid the Home Guards and lived among the cliffs on the banks of the Little Warrior River. Most of the Hide-Outs were eventually captured and pressed into military service, but some escaped. Jack fled to Tennessee with a number of men from Blount and St. Clair Counties and joined the Union Army. After the war, Jack returned to his church and mill but preached to empty pews. Young ruffians would shout “Amen” and sing off-key. Finally, his mill was deliberately fired and burned to the ground. Jack moved to Etowah County where he resumed his preaching and became a county school superintendent. His son, Dr. John Lakin Brasher, served as Secretary of the Alabama Methodist Conference for 37 years and was the first president of Snead Academy which later became Snead Junior College.
In the political arena, antipathies toward some of the men persisted for some years after the war and during the reconstruction period. In Madison County, there is the example of David P. Lewis of Lawrence County who moved to Huntsville in 1865 and resumed his practice of law. "Unionist" Lewis switched from the Democrats to the Republican party after the 1868 presidential election and was elected Governor of Alabama as a Republican in 1872 over Democrat Thomas H. Herndon of Mobile. The following editorial which begins rather mildly and concludes with seething invective appeared a few days before the election in The Huntsville Democrat of November 1, 1872.

"We cannot understand by what process of reasoning any sensible and patriotic man can reconcile it to his conscience, to vote for any man, no matter what his personal qualities, who is the representative candidate of a party whose principles (?), policy and practice are, all, opposed to the peace, prosperity and happiness of his country. We have heard it suggested that Judge D. P. Lewis would get the vote of some of the best Democrats and Conservatives in the State, on account of their personal friendship for him or some personal obligation to him. We have not been able to identify any of these peculiar patriots, who place their personal likes, dislikes or obligations above their love of country and their obligations to the community in which they live. We hold that every citizen should have a higher and holier regard for the principles he professes, and the general interests of the country, than for any individual citizen - and that he has no moral right to sacrifice the interests of his country to his personal friendships or his desire to promote the political fortunes of any individual. But how can any good and true man hesitate as to his duty when two such men are presented for his choice as Herndon, with his honorable and patriotic antecedents, and Lewis, with his black record of desertion of the South in the darkest, direst days of the war, and consorting with the carpet-bag, niggeradical party since the war, for our oppression, plunder and ruin? Lewis has no high personal qualities to challenge our confidence and respect. But if he had such qualities, he is associated with men utterly devoid of them; some of whom belonged to the Radical Ring of fools and thieves in power during the Smith administration, who imposed heavy taxes upon our people, plundered the State Treasury, and, by fraud and bribery, ran our State debt, actual and contingent, from five or six million up to about $38,000,000. 'A man is known by the company he keeps,' and Judge Lewis is in very bad company—carpet-bag adventurers, office-hunting scalawags and negroes, leagued together against the true white people of the State. How can any true white man, especially a Southern man, support Lewis or any of his crew, and maintain his self respect?"
Three days after the election, Madison County's Nicholas Davis also came in for his share of disdain with this item from the November 8, 1872, Huntsville Democrat.

"The day after the election, in the exuberance of their joy, several 'loil' blacks lifted Wm. Council in their arms and bore him a short round. Then a similar office was performed for Nick Davis. After being borne nearly across the square and back, he was taken to the Court House steps and made a Radical glorification speech to the negroes, telling them that 'equal rights and fair play had triumphed, as it always would, in favor of Republican principles' &c."

And two weeks later in the November 22, 1872, Huntsville Democrat.

"FIGHT. - On Monday last, Nick Davis and Sam Weaver, two loyal subjects of Ulysses the First, had a fight in one of the saloons of Huntsville. Sam 'chewed' one of Nick's fingers, so that the first joint had to be amputated, cut his head severely with an iron poker, and bunged his face considerably. We have not ascertained any of Sam's injuries, but learn that they amounted to nothing serious. These 'loil Radicals' give no practical significance to the edict of Ulysses I, 'Let us have peace.'"

Marie Bankhead Owen, in the opening words to her aforementioned Editorial, writes, "The most momentous date in the history of Alabama was January 11, 1861, when its legally authorized delegates in Convention assembled in Montgomery, passed the ordinance withdrawing the State from the Union." It is obvious that this date was even more significant to these 100 men, both those who approved secession and those who opposed it. From a survey of those delegates who refused to sign the Ordinance of Secession, it is evident that taking such action made it necessary for them to withstand criticism which continued for the rest of their lives. Some of these men were staunch conservative Whigs who became Republicans and continued to support their principles within the framework of the Republican party.

ENDNOTES

Spelling of the names of the signers has been corrected from earlier versions.
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Born of slave parents in Fayette, North Carolina, William Hooper Councill was the founder of Alabama A & M University.

Ulysses S. Grant, re-elected to his second term on November 5, 1872.
The undersigned, delegates to the Convention of the people of the State of Alabama, feel it their duty to themselves, to their constituents, and to the people of the State at large, to make public the reasons that actuate them in withholding their signatures from the Ordinance of Secession by which the people of Alabama resumed, on the 11th day of January, 1861, the powers previously delegated to and exercised by the Federal Government. This duty is the more imperative, as designing persons have misrepresented, and will continue to misconstrue, their refusal to participate in a mere form of attestation, into opposition and hostility to a solemn act of the State. This act is binding on all citizens alike, and none are more ready than the undersigned, to yield a cheerful obedience to the will of their State, to which they owe their first and paramount allegiance, and none will be more faithful in upholding and sustaining at any price, and at any sacrifice, her interest and her honor, in the attitude she has assumed by this act. If, therefore, the enemies of the State derive comfort from the refusal of the undersigned to sign the Ordinance, the fault will lie with those who misrepresent their motives or impugn their patriotism and loyalty to their State.

The Ordinance derives no additional validity from the signatures of the individual delegates composing the Convention. The affixing these signatures is a mere form of attestation, and might be, and most likely would be, regarded as a voluntary abandonment and retraction of those principles and views of public policy, advocated by the undersigned before the people, and which caused them to oppose the passage of the Ordinance of Secession [in its present form]. While the undersigned cannot consent to have even the appearance of modifying or relinquishing these views and principles, they do sincerely disclaim all intention to perpetuate the bitterness and animosities of former party division, or to encourage new divisions between those who favored and those who opposed separate State action, and they solemnly pledge themselves to a faithful and zealous support of the State in all the consequences that may result from the Ordinance of Secession.

These principles and views of public policy, to which they stood pledged to their constituents, and which have governed their action in Convention, are so well known as to require only a brief enumeration.

First. The great fundamental principle that all representative bodies, exercising a high and responsible public trust, should submit their acts for the approval or condemnation of those by whom the trust was confided; especially when in the discharge of such trust, is involved a radical change in the existing Government, affecting alike the highest and lowest in the land, and upon which depends the
welfare and happiness of not only this generation, but that of the remotest posterity, demanded that the Ordinance of Secession should have been submitted to the people of the State for their ratification or rejection at the ballot box. This principle is the foundation of the whole theory of popular government, and is the only safeguard to the abuses of trust and the usurpations of power.

Secondly. Not only comity, but the interest of all concerned, and of none more than Alabama, dictated the policy of respectfully consulting with all the States whose identity of interest makes their ultimate destiny inseparable from ours, and who are affected almost as much as ourselves by any action on our part, of devising with them, or at least such of them as would join us in a plan of harmonious and simultaneous action, thus presenting in all our dealings with the Federal Government, foreign nations or hostile States, a united strength, a moral power and a national dignity, which no single State could hope to present; of establishing a new Confederacy of all the States engaged in a common cause, before finally severing all connection with the Federal Government, and thus avoiding to the individual States the burdens and dangers of an independent and separate national existence, placing the formation of a new Confederacy beyond the risks and hazards to which it would be subjected by the conflicting interests and views of disunited States, each acting for itself, without concert one with another, and leaving no interregnum during which men’s minds could be unsettled, and all materials interests jeopardized [sic] by the uncertainties of the future. These views of policy the undersigned are convinced are the only ones constant with prudence and a wise discretion, and the only ones that can lead to a peaceful and successful termination of present difficulties. It is not yet too late to apply them, at least in part, to the management of public affairs, and as we see with pleasure the cheering indications of their being more generally recognized and adopted than during the first effervescence of popular excitement, at the accumulated wrongs and insults of hostile and sectional factions, culminating in the election of a sectional President.

It will not be necessary to add, in conclusion, that in refusing to sign the Ordinance of Secession, the undersigned are actuated by no desire to avoid the responsibilities that now attach, or may hereafter attach, to the act by which the State withdrew from the Federal Union. Not only will they share the responsibilities alike with those who sign the Ordinance, but if it should appear that the public interest or expediency requires the affixing of their signatures, they will unhesitatingly and cheerfully do so—their object being in the present statement solely to defend and maintain the principles and line of policy, the advocacy and support of which was entrusted to them by their constituents, and which they believed to be of vital importance to the future peace and welfare of the State.

With this brief exposition of our acts, and the reasons therefore, we are willing
to be judged by a candid public; the truth and sincerity of our declarations and motives, time alone can decide; and upon the correctness and wisdom of those principles and views of public policy, by which we have been governed, "other men and other times" will render a correct verdict.

R. JEMISON, JR.  W. R. SMITH
WM. O. WINSTON NICK DAVIS
JOHN GREEN, Com. THOMAS J. McCLELLAN
JOHN TIMBERLAKE JOHN POTTER
M. J. BULGER S. C. POSEY

A. KIMBALL E. P. JONES
WILLIAM H. EDWARDS B. W. WILSON
R. J. WOOD LANG C. ALLEN
R. J. WOOD JOHN A. STEELE
GEORGE FORRESTER J. P. COMAN
HENRY M. GAY HENRY C. SANFORD
WINSTON STEHAM JOHN J. BRASHER
ARTHUR C. BEARD R. J. WOOD
JAMES L. SHEFFIELD JOHN R. COFFEE
J. N. FRANKLIN TIMOTHY J. RUSSELL
JONATHAN FORD H. C. JONES
ROBERT GUTTERY WM. L. WHITLOCK

APPENDIX II

ORDINANCE OF SECESSION

An Ordinance to dissolve the Union between the State of Alabama and other States united under the compact styled "The Constitution of the United States of America."

WHEREAS, the election of Abraham Lincoln and Hannibal Hamlin to the offices of President and Vice President of the United States of America, by a sectional party, avowedly hostile to the domestic institutions and to the peace and security of the people of the State of Alabama, preceded by many and dangerous
infractions of the Constitution of the United States by many of the States and people of the Northern section, is a political wrong of so insulting and menacing a character as to justify the people of the State of Alabama in the adoption of prompt and decided measures for their future peace and security; therefore,

Be it declared and ordained by the people of the State of Alabama, in Convention assembled, That the State of Alabama now withdraws, and is hereby withdrawn from the Union known as "the United States of America," and henceforth ceases to be one of said United States, and is, and of right ought to be, a Sovereign and Independent State.

Sec. 2. Be it further declared and ordained by the people of the State of Alabama in Convention assembled, That all the powers over the Territory of said State, and over the people thereof, heretofore delegated to the Government of the United States of America, be and they are hereby withdrawn from said Government, and are hereby resumed and vested in the people of the State of Alabama.

Be it resolved by the people of Alabama in Convention assembled, That the people of the States of Delaware, Maryland, Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Florida, Georgia, Mississippi, Louisiana, Texas, Arkansas, Tennessee, Kentucky and Missouri, be and are hereby invited to meet the people of the State of Alabama, by their Delegates, in Convention, on the 4th day of February, A.D., 1861, at the city of Montgomery, in the State of Alabama, for the purpose of consulting with each other as to the most effectual mode of securing concerted and harmonious action in whatever measures may be deemed most desirable for our common peace and security.

And be it further resolved, That the President of this Convention be, and is hereby instructed to transmit forthwith, a copy of the foregoing Preamble, Ordinance, and Resolutions to the Governors of the several States named in said resolutions.

Done by the people of the State of Alabama, in Convention assembled, at Montgomery, on this the eleventh day of January A.D., 1861.
Annual Report of the Treasurer
HUNTSVILLE-MADISON COUNTY HISTORICAL SOCIETY
July 1, 1995 - June 30, 1996
John M. Shaver, Treasurer

Checking Account Balance, June 30, 1995 $ 629.45
Savings Account Balance, June 30, 1995 $1,039.23
TOTAL $1,668.68

Receipts:
Dues.............................................................$6,373.00
Historical Review Sales.................. 306.40
Map Sales........................................ 450.00
Interest on Savings......................... 28.23
Alabama Historical Marker Funds.. 195.00
Total Receipts $7,352.63
Funds Available $9,021.31

Disbursements:
Historical Review Printing........... $2,393.61
Program Expense........................... 539.00
Postage............................................ 328.78
Meeting notices.............................. 560.86
Marker Committee....................... 71.40
Reprint Maps......................... 192.80
Post Office Box Rent...................... 40.00
Arts Council.............................. 75.00
Newsletter.............................. 130.20
State Meeting............................. 83.42
Recording Secretary........................ 16.48
Total Disbursements $4,431.55

Checking Account Balance, June 30, 1996 $3,522.30
Savings Account Balance, June 30, 1996 $1,067.46
Certificates of Deposit, with interest to date
(Mature 1/12/97)
TOTAL BALANCE $9,864.72

MAPLE HILL BOOK PROJECT $6,060.29

John M. Shaver, Treasurer

28
If you know someone who may be interested in becoming a member of the Huntsville-Madison County Historical Society, please share this application for membership.

HUNTSVILLE-MADISON COUNTY HISTORICAL SOCIETY
P. O. Box 666
Huntsville, AL 35804

Membership Application 1996-97

Name ____________________________________________

Address _________________________________________

________________________________________________

Telephones: Home__________ Work__________

Annual Dues: Individual: $10.00 Family: $18.00

My check for $___________ payable to Huntsville-Madison County Historical Society includes a subscription to The Huntsville Historical Review and all the Society's activities.

_________________________ Signature
The purpose of this society is to afford an agency for expression among those having a common interest in collecting, preserving and recording the history of Huntsville and Madison County. Communications concerning the organization should be addressed to the President at P. O. Box 666, Huntsville, Alabama 35804.

Manuscripts for possible publication should be directed to the Publications Committee at the same address. Articles should pertain to Huntsville or Madison County. Articles on the history of other sections of the state will be considered when they relate in some way to Madison County. All copy, including footnotes, should be double spaced. The author should submit an original and one copy.

*The Huntsville Historical Review* is sent to all current members of the Huntsville-Madison County Historical Society. Annual membership is $10.00 for an individual and $18.00 for a family. Librarians and organizations may receive the *Review* on a subscription basis for $10.00 per year. Single issues may be obtained for $5.00 each.

Responsibility for statements of facts or opinions made by contributors to the *Review* is not assumed by either the Publications Committee or the Huntsville-Madison County Historical Society. Questions or comments concerning articles in this journal should be directed to the Editor, P. O. Box 666, Huntsville, Alabama 35804.

Permission to reprint any article in whole or in part is given, provided credit is given to the *Review*. 